




“HOW TO” Gerrymander the Vote


**WHY SHOULD
CITIZENS TRUST THE
“DISHONEST”
CITY OF SAN DIEGO?**



“REPORT”



**How the City of San Diego Paid Tax
Payer Dollars, deceived, and
Gerrymandered the VOTE to take
money from property owners for
the benefit of a Privet Membership
Only Corporation!**



“REPORT”



How the City of San Diego in spite of losing 2 court decisions refuses to stop stealing the property owners' money and REFUSES to return the over **\$1,800,000 stolen money!**



“COURTS RULED”

**the City of San Diego
and Employees violated
the VOTING PROCESS**

**through
Dishonesty & Corruption!**

“16+ years Battle”

Against the “**CITIZENS**”, Business & property owners of South Park and Golden Hill, lead by the City of San Diego employees for the sole benefit of a very small privet membership only

Corporation [charges dues, picks its own click-membership, leadership, political, and is not accountable]!

Organization

“Born of Deceit!”

To gain an understanding as to what is happening TODAY, you must appreciate when the City of San Diego employees and this private Corporation started **attacking** the Citizens and property owners of **South Park and Golden Hill!**

Born of Deceit

“GGHCDC” Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation – is not an elected community based supported organization. Is a vocal **Political Action Committee**. It tries to function as a governmental agency to supervise the citizens in South Park and Golden Hill. It is a privately owned membership Corporation, like a tennis club, Lodge, Church, or Chevron Oil Corp.

It is solely dependent upon **taxpayer funding** with **“Zero” accountability** to Citizens or community. Legally only responsible to its own members.

Born of Deceit

Golden Hill Planning Area has the combined majority of the City's low income, subsidized, drug, community welfare services, Public Housing and "supporting agencies."

The city, county and state planners, by their actions and statements are actively turning South Park and Golden Hill into a centralized geographical consolidation area for majority of social services, agencies, and welfare zone! Forcing single family Property Owners out. "Total Dishonesty!"

Born of Deceit

“GGHCDC” publicly campaigned along with the Community in the opposition to the re-zoning & project change for 2 - single family homes into a large 36 unit public housing apartment complex (**The Golden Villas**). This exposed a backroom deal which enriched a former City Councilman/Developer by \$28 million from City, State, and Fed’s.

We would learn this was only a Deception!

Born of Deceit

Became known that the GGHCDC, Keho, Atkins, the Mayor office, City's Housing and Planning Departments had previously and non-publicly coordinated the project (quietly moving it forward – going through the motions).

Golden Villas was going to happen, the GGHCDC, and city bureaucracy did not care what citizens wanted; they were going to get millions of dollars from the Fed and State.

Deceit and manipulations by GGHCDC, Keho, Atkins, City's Housing and Planning Departments – this was to only give the impression that GGHCDC represented the community and could “negotiate terms” and resolution on the community property owner's behalf.

Born of Deceit

Over the loud objections of the majority of property owners; Keho, Atkins, and City's Bureaucracy all manipulated award of payola to the GGHCDC:

- > **Paid** Management fees & Supervision responsibility for the Golden Villas. [Poor management + high crime!]
- > **Paid** with a Van to transport residents since there is no sidewalks on the single unimproved road into the neighborhood [where's the Van? They use canyons!].
- > **Paid** to ensure fencing to prevent canyon becoming a thoroughfare to protect habitat, Fires, and community safety. [Fence taken down + canyon crime/Fire issues!]
- **Paid** to provide security guards to protect the residents and neighborhood from gang-bangers, drug dealers, and crime at the Projects. [Ask police – never done!]

WHO OWNES THE GOLDEN VILLAS TODAY?

Born of Deceit

“Implementing Our Neighborhood’s Vision”

If you wish to see GGHCDC and City’s vision of our neighborhoods please visit The Golden Villas. It destroyed a well manicured and maintained, quiet, zero-crime, and close neighborhood. Previously occupied by single family property “owners” who were principally retirees, military, zoo, and municipal employees.

Talk to neighbors, Go visit the Golden Villas and surrounding neighborhood -- see the short-sighted self serving vision for our community by GGHCDC and City Bureaucracy!

1995 through TODAY

“6 Attacks”

**On Citizens of South Park and
Golden Hill by San Diego City
Employees/GGHCDC**

1st ATTACK: 1995

Business Improvement District
to provide sustained income for the
“GGHCDC”.

Without consultation and prior agreement with local businesses or property owners in South Park, the GGHCDC installed clock tower, trees, bricks, redirected sidewalks and business parking, then announced itself as the Golden Hill Business District, dictated individual Assessments and providing Billings, Invoices with threats of collection.

1st ATTACK: BID DEFEATED

Defeated by business and commercial property Owners, with the support of local citizens.

Because of proponents dictatorial nature, misrepresentations , perceived deceit, political nature of the private membership Corporation and obvious self-enrichment of the GGHDC membership and principals.

2nd ATTACK: 2000

Maintenance Assessment District
to provide sustained income stream for
the “**GGHCDC**”.

Lead by **Scott Kessler** City Employee,
President of the GGHCDC; openly
supported by Keho and Atkins.



Dedicated to Community Revitalization

Golden Hill Community Development Corporation

2469 Broadway

San Diego, CA. 92102

Ph: (619) 696-9992 Fax: (619) 696-6048

E-mail: lsgcdc@mill.net

August 10, 2000

TO: Golden Hill Community Commercial and Residential Property Owners

SUBJECT: Survey Regarding Proposed Additional Services for the Golden Hill Community Through Formation of a Community Maintenance Assessment District (CMAD)

Dear Golden Hill Property Owners:

This past June, the Golden Hill Community Development Corporation Board of Directors voted to hire a local company, NEW CITY AMERICA, to investigate support for the formation of a neighborhood assessment district to fund enhanced services in Golden Hill.

The proposed Golden Hill Community Maintenance Assessment District, as envisioned, encompasses the Greater Golden Hill Planning Area: north of the Highway 94/M.L. King Freeway, south and east of Balboa Park, south of Juniper Street, and west of Interstate 15. A map of area is available at the Golden Hill CDC.

Attached, please find a survey that represents the first step in the process of determining whether sufficient support exists for establishment of a new maintenance district in Golden Hill. The survey results will be evaluated by a Golden Hill Community Maintenance Assessment District Steering Committee. We encourage any and all property owners to be involved in the Steering Committee. If the CMAD receives sufficient initial support, the Steering Committee would put together a reasonable budget and actively support the establishment of the new district through a property owner mail ballot vote in early 2001.

Please take a moment to complete the survey and return by Friday, August 25th. Call Lorraine Schmalenberger at the Golden Hill Community Development Corporation at (619) 696-9992 should you have any questions about the survey or the formation of the new Community Maintenance District. We will send out the first of our regular "Maintenance District" newsletters once the survey results have been summarized.

Sincerely,

Scott Kessler, President

Golden Hill Community Development Corporation



2nd ATTACK: MAD DEFEATED

Defeated by Citizens, and the responsible Department Head at city. Because of many misrepresentations by GGHCDC & City Employees.

The Department Head (Kessler's Boss in 2000) expressed that he was misled to believe that there was a groundswell of support, the GGHCDC was comprised of large number of property owners in both Golden Hill and South Park!

He further stated, **"It will not happen as long as I am here without 95% of the property owners signing a petition in its favor. The City Can't afford the expense, or the ill will caused by not gaining the property owners prior approval. We need a clear understanding for the intended use for the money collected! This sounds like a waste of money!"**

3rd ATTACK: 2002

Business Improvement District to provide sustained income for the “GGHCDC”.

It became very clear that the GGHCDC needed capital to survive, and their last two tries failed. Many Business owners stated that GGHCDC mislead the Fed’s [it was a large BID] to get the grant money! They now needed money to Water Trees, pay SDG&E to run clock, and to pay outstanding bills.

Golden Hill Commercial Revitalization Joint Sub-Committee
Golden Hill Planning Committee and Golden Hill Community Development Corporation

MEETING
October 30, 2002 - 6:30pm
2469 Broadway - San Diego, CA 92102

AGENDA

- I. Down Town Community Plan Recommendations
- II. Golden Hill and the City of Villages plan
- III. SANDAG Walkable Communities Project - 25th Street Bridge
- IV. Golden Hill Parking and Utilization Study
- V. Golden Hill Business District Development
- VI. Holiday Events & 2003 Golden Hill Street Festival



**Discussion to pursue
establishment of a Business
Assessment District [BAD]
encompassing South Park
& Golden Hill**

Please contact Kristie at (619)696-9992 to request additional agenda items!

Kristie Rice
Economic Development
Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation
2469 Broadway - San Diego, CA - 92102
(619)696-9992 Fax (619)696-6048

3rd ATTACK: BID DEFEATED

Defeated by Citizens, Business Owners, and by the City's Business Development Department Director.

It was clearly stated that the GGHCDC's **"Golden Hill Business District"** did not represent the South Park Business Owners, or a significant number of Golden Hill Businesses. Such future Business Improvement Districts would have to be initiated by actual business owners to be considered as an option.

4th ATTACK: 2004

Maintenance Assessment District
to provide sustained income for the “GGHCDC”.

GGHCDC, City Employees, and consultants again urged that a MAD be established quickly, **before a more restrictive City policy is finalized** and enacted by the City Council.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT
FUNDING FOR MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
FORMATION

POLICY
NUMBER 100-21

EFFECTIVE
DATE 09/07/2004

POLICY

Developed Communities

It is the policy of the City of San Diego to assist eligible *Developed Communities* seeking to form a *MAD* for the purpose of providing *Special Benefit*. Through the \$150,000 in the *MAD Formation Fund* created by resolution of the City Council, the City may pay costs and related expenses, or a portion thereof, necessary for initial district formation, including *Assessment Engineer's Reports*, ballooning, administrative costs, and other incidental costs. It is the intent of this Council Policy that any formation advocacy costs be paid by the community.

Council/City policy 100-21,
09/07/2004, Funding for
Maintenance Assessment
District Formation

A m
MAD
mpa
MAD
reve
these
A. C
Fut
use
of th

n of any
must be
ers in the
ion that
receiving
out.
mixed-
ADs. All
the MAD

formation process for a *Developed Community*:

1. A project description has been developed which includes:
 - a) the proposed *Improvements* to be maintained by the *MAD*;
 - b) the proposed *MAD* boundaries;
 - c) any proposed new *Improvements* to be installed, maintained and funded by the *MAD*; and
 - d) a preliminary estimate of anticipated assessments to be levied on property owners within the proposed *MAD* boundaries. This estimate may be developed in conjunction with City of San Diego staff, based on the information provided by the community in Criteria 1a)-1c).
2. The amount of up-front funding needed from the *MAD Formation Fund* to pay for the *Assessment Engineer's Report* and other incidental costs has been determined, and does not

4th ATTACK: MAD Defeated

This mad-dash to get a MAD for the GGHCDC was quickly defeated by strong outcries of non-support from Citizens and Business Owners.

It was “STOPPED” when Community got the council to tell GGHCDC to follow the newly enacted Council policy 100-21, and no waivers would be granted.

All Council Members gave assurances that no further support would be given for a MAD without strictly following city’s Policy and applicable public laws.

5th ATTACK: 2006

Maintenance Assessment District

to provide sustained income for the
“GGHCDC”.

GGHCDC membership and City Employees
started the MAD process under new

Council Policy 100-21.

5th ATTACK: MAD Defeated

Quickly defeated again strong outcries of non-support from Citizens & Business Owners.

GGHCDC Could not get Signatures --- The petition drive was said to have only gotten 30-68 signatures which included property owners and renters (1,500 owners needed). Further, the MAD descriptions on petitions were deceptive; causing many owners to demand their names be removed, once they found out true purpose of these forms.

GGHCDC Could not get the area Planning Group to vote in favor of establishing a MAD. A prerequisite articulation of need by the community's planning group [quasi-governmental body] as listed city policy 100-21. **In fact the planning group made it very clear they did not support GGHCDC MAD establishment – they voted NO!**



**Land Use and Economic
Development Department
Campaign Against
Property Owners**

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

Maintenance Assessment District

to provide sustained income for the “GGHCDC”.

GGHCDC had around 13-20 active dues paying members. It was rumored to be announced to membership and at the board meetings, they would have to soon disband if permanent and immediate funding was not obtained. They were broke, had no money, outstanding bills, nor community support.

Scott Kessler City Employee, recently promoted to Deputy Director of Land Use and Economic Development Department, former President of the GGHCDC, local property owner (trust), was said to have become **“VERY UPSET & FRUSTRATED”** at the citizens of South Park and Golden Hill, for not supporting the funding of the GGHCDC!

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

Scott Kessler Deputy Director of Land Use and Economic Development, City Employee, former President of the GGHCDC; Luis Ojeda, City Planning and Community Investment Department, City Employee; other specific members of the GGHCDC, paid Opposition Political Consultant and MAD consultants.

Knowingly with forethought set into motion a scheme to secure permanent funding for the GGHCDC over the long established objections of property owners. This would be accomplished through a ramrod, corrupt, and deceitful authorization process. The city would pay monies to Consultants and to GGHCDC for a disinformation campaign, one sided reports, documents, mailers, and promotional materials specifically contrived to manipulate the outcomes to established a Permanent funding source for a Politically Active private membership Corporation.


As exhibited by official records, knowingly with forethought conspired to specifically not use City Policy 100-21, and applicable laws, this to disparage an open, just, and fair process in establishing a GGHCDC MAD. This was to ramrod and push quickly the predetermined outcomes before the citizens were able to become fully knowledgeable, “outraged” and mobilize effective opposition.

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

The City paid Consulting firm, with City Employees and GGHCDC, developed the draft engineering report. A group of 3-5 self-dealing individuals completed the real final report. Although not made available to general public until just prior to vote; to ensure it could not be inspected and formally contested.

It was written in such a manner to allow GGHCDC to spend “taken” money on anything they may deem necessary, with annual automatic 5% increases in property assessments. **The South Park & Golden Hill Planning Committees, Property Owners, Balboa Park, City Golf Course, and all others were purposely left from process.**

DEVELOPING THE MAD PLAN



6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

During the City's campaign against the property owners, it reported it paid over **\$48,000** to establish the City's GGHCDC MAD. Neighbors that worked for city claimed is was estimated over **\$80,000**. Property Owners were required to pay it back from assessments.

With the direction and oversight of City Employees the City paid all of GGHCDC costs, mailings, MAD consultants, many pro-MAD mailing, advertising, promotions, surveys and calling campaign, political opposition consultants – thus clearly in violation of Policies 100-21 and applicable laws. (GGHCDC was Broke!)

Dear Neighbor,

Help us make Greater Golden Hill clean, green, and safe!

Vote ☒ YES to

Vote ☒ YES for

75% of property
creating a Maint
cleaner and gre



Graffiti at

Imagine if our p
consistently we

Imagine having
right here in the
make sure that your concerns are
handled immediately.



Couches Dumped at Ivy Street



Graffiti Waiting Months for Removal at Ivy Street

It can be done! 50+ San Diego
neighborhoods like Little Italy, North
Park, University Heights, and City
Heights have their own Maintenance
Districts.

We can maintain our community but only if all property owners VOTE YES to
form the Greater Golden Hill Maintenance Assessment District!



One of 4
Paid for by
City with
Tax Dollars

Dedicated to
Community
Revitalization

Golden Hill

South Park

Brooklyn Heights

Orange Park

Morse

Seaman & Choates

Board of
Directors

Robert Fanella
President

Kathryn Willetts
Vice President

Michael Kravcar
Treasurer

Kathy
Vandenheuvel
Secretary

Sophie Atkins
Samantha Keenan

NOTE: SAME PICTURES IN OFFICAL BALLOT.

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

No petitions circulated by the GGHCDC – obtaining the required **1,500 property owners pre-approval [signatures]** to request authorization for a MAD public VOTE, per Policy #100-21.

City Employees & GGHCDC mislead City Council and the community by implying that few pro/con responses (few? or 650?) from a survey letter that was mailed twice by GGHCDC (prepared by city employees and paid for from City funds); that 10%[?] of the 3,500 owners, were counted by City as a 75% majority of community property owners expressing an interest in forming a MAD.

The veracity of these self-serving responses to GGHCDC have always been in question! Allegations that only a few were retuned, and those clearly opposed were discarded or changed. Surveys were never made available to the public to be verified as valid property owners marked wishing to form MAD, per Policy #100-21. **City later stated these were only informational surveys and have no official value or need to keep!**



Dear Neighbors,

Wouldn't it be fantastic if we could get together to make all of the neighborhoods of Greater Golden Hill clean, green and safe? What if we could make certain that our streets were clean, trash and recycling bins were placed properly, beautiful canyons were maintained, and safe walking trails were created?

Imagine if our parks were maintained, tax dollars stay here, and the neighborhood thrived?

It can be done. It has been done in Italy, University Heights, and elsewhere. Maintaining our present condition can be done by all of us. Let's get Maintenance Assessed.

Please take the time to clean, green and safe. Let's get Greater Golden Hill assessed. Let's organize clean up days, beautify the streets, and have banners need replaced. Trash racks, electrical boxes, and banners need replaced.

The truth is the city is under the current system. Communities and the family homeowner pay month, every penny.

Please fill out the finding message personally.

Sincerely,

Robert Fanella

Robert Fanella
President

Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation

Made by city
Employee
Paid for by
City with Tax
Dollars
\$8,680.23



Golden Hill
South Park
Brooklyn Heights
Orange Park
Morse
Seaman & Choates

Board of
Directors
Robert Fanella
President
Kathryn Willett
Vice President
Michael Kravcar
Treasurer
Kathy Vandenhoeve
Secretary
Sophie Akine
Samantha Keenish
Krisa Luveto

Bette Cooper
Bjorkman
Administrative
Assistant

www.goldenhillcdc.org

Surveys Mailed 2 times to same addresses

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFREANCE WITH THE VOTE #1 –

Gerrymandering the VOTE. Scott Kessler Deputy Director of Land Use and Economic Development, City Employee, former President of the GGHCDC; Luis Ojeda, City Planning and Community Investment Department, City Employee; manipulate the VOTE outcome, **prior to the actual vote of the people.**


By including “City Owned Properties”, Balboa Park, Golf Course, vacant lots, canyons, facilities, and buildings which are not in any planning area. They caused/obtained “Tax Parcel number” assignments so these City lands could be formally included into the MAD vote. The City employees clearly planned to cast these manipulated **Ballot Box Fillers** in favor of the GGHCDC MAD which would overwhelmingly contribute to a false corrupt win. **Like dead people voting!**

"Gerrymandering"
New Area just for MAD Vote
For City to overwhelm Citizens
"NO" VOTE
Deputy Director Scott Kessler
Counting area for MAD VOTE!

ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM

The boundaries of the Greater Golden Hill Maintenance Assessment District are displayed on the following Assessment Diagram.

Figure 6 - Assessment Diagram



6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFERENCE WITH THE VOTE #2 –

Actively Discouraging Opposition Voting. From the onset of this scheme, City Employees coordinated an aggressive disinformation campaign utilizing their official offices and those of City Council members and their staffs. They were actively misleading, deceptive, secretive, and conspiring to not be truthful and to specifically not inform opponents.

The City Employees would tell property owners that City Policy 100-21 and applicable laws would be 100% complied with; that GGHCDC surveys were only informational would not be used for any other purpose; that the Vote was only advisory; many other lies were told by City Employees causing much confusion.

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!



Although Toney Atkins and her staff openly supported and encouraged the establishment of the GGHCCDC MAD. **She personally and consistently assured property owners that she would ensure the CITY and GGHCCDC would strictly follow Policy 100-21 and applicable laws.**

Toney Atkins “LIED!” – Before Vote, she was given evidence the City Employees and GGHCCDC entered into a scheme, not following Policy 100-21 and applicable laws, Gerrymandering the VOTE. She just ignored all the crimes **refusing to keep her WORD!** You can't take her word!

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!



Ben Hueso, personally assured several group meetings property owners that the CITY and GGHCDC would follow Policy 100-21, Council Members would “not” establish the MAD if the property owners said no! Specifically, Gerrymandering the VOTE to City’s favor would not be acceptable nor supported.

Ben Hueso “LIED!” – He personally paid thousands of dollars from his budget to establish the GGHCDC MAD. When confronted that Policy 100-21 was not being followed, he replied “not required!” He led the Council VOTE claiming “Rightness of the MAD”, although he knew of the lies & Gerrymandering of VOTE. **When called on his “assurances” made to property owners – he claimed he never made them! You can’t take his word – he’s dishonest!**

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

Short time before the mailing of Ballots, a property owner [attorney] was first officially informed in writing by Luis Ojeda, City Policy 100-21 and applicable laws would not be followed. In later conversations he made it very clear that the “CITY” did not have to follow its own published policies.

When Scott Kessler was confronted about above, his prior misleading statements, and that policy 100-21 was not followed he responded, **“Establishment Process and Public Vote is only advisory... the power to establish a MAD resides at City Council and not with the property owner! The city may do as it wishes to get and present the facts to the Council for the MAD’s establishment.”**

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFERENCE WITH THE VOTE #2 –

Outdated and not correct Property Tax Rolls. City's consultant that wrote the MAD Engineering Report (with GGHCDC and City Employees), openly and aggressively campaigned for the MAD's establishment. Obvious conflict of interests and manipulations, he provided an old, out dated and incorrect property owner mailing list purchased from a third party vendor, that was over 18 months old.

This is the same mailing list used by City and GGHCDC to mail surveys, letters, opposition consultant, and **official property owner voting ballots.**

APPENDIX A – ASSESSMENT ROLL, FY 2007-08

The Assessment Roll (a listing of all parcels assessed within the Greater Golden Hill Maintenance Assessment District and the amount of the assessment) is filed with the Project Manager and is, by reference, made part of this report and is available for public inspection during normal office hours.

Each lot or parcel listed on the Assessment Roll is shown and illustrated on the latest County Assessor records and these records are, by reference made part of this report. These records shall govern for all details concerning the description of the lots or parcels.

SCI Consulting Group in preparation of these Property Tax Rolls, did not obtain most current Tax Rolls from the San Diego County Assessors Office as required by City Policy and applicable Laws. March 2007 rolls were available at the time and the “MOST CURRENT”.

SCI Consulting Group purchased these rolls from a 3rd party vendor database, and were estimated to be 2005 property listings, with many identifiable errors and omissions. The lists were then manipulated/changed by pro-MAD consultant that provided lists.

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFERENCE WITH THE VOTE #4 –

Political “Opposition” Consultant. The City Employees in coordination and collusion with GGHCDC engaged (paid with tax dollars) a Political “Opposition” Consultant to engage in a campaign of deception, and misinformation by a bogus Tax Payer Organization.

This “Opposition” political consultant using bogus identities spent a great deal of effort disparaging (via internet, telephone, and rumors) property owners who opposed GGHCDC MAD “Dishonesty”.



Dear Greater Golden Hill Property Owners,

TaxpayersAdvocate.org is a private non-profit organization.

As a service to property owners in Greater Golden Hill, we are opposing the proposed Maintenance Assessment.

Scott Barnett, President, TaxpayersAdvocate.org

TaxpayersAdvocate.org

A YES vote to create the Greater Golden Hill Community Fund will result in:

- Trash removal twice a week from all streets
- Graffiti removal daily
- Sidewalk and gutter sweeping
- Sidewalk repairs
- New Trash Cans installed throughout the community
- Landscaping throughout the community
- Tree maintenance throughout the community
- A new walking-trail along Golf Course
- Canyon clean-ups each quarter
- Services evenly distributed throughout the community
- A 15-member Oversight Committee
- Audits to ensure that services are provided
- 100% of Funds Spent in the Community

One of 2 mailing
sent by this false
organization &
Opposition Political
Consultant - Paid for
by City Tax dollars –
to deceive and
mislead



sult in:

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFERENCE WITH THE VOTE #5 –

Preventing Ballot Rebuttal or Counter Points. Scott Kessler, Luis Ojeda, GGHCDC, and City Attorney refused to allow opponents to write Rebuttal Counter Points to Official ballot. Only the City's pro-GGHCDC MAD side was listed in a 2 page insert, the same pictures and writings in all GGHCDC letters.

City Attorney office stated that the “City” was a neutral party, refused to acknowledge that the City was conflicted, and in fact the leading proponent for the MAD's establishment on the behalf of a private membership corporation; circumventing laws and Policy 100-21. Lead by a City Department Head, who was this same private corporation's former “President” [that he previously tried to establish a GGHCDC MAD in 2000].



City of San Diego

Official Notice And Ballot Information Guide

Greater Golden Hill Maintenance Assessment

Why Did You Receive This Notice and Ballot?

The ballot included with this notice allows property owners in the Greater Golden Hill area to decide on whether to approve an annual assessment to provide funding for the improved maintenance, upkeep and safety of sidewalks, landscaping, trails, the canyon and other public areas in the Greater Golden Hill area. 100% of the assessment proceeds will be used to provide services in the Greater Golden Hill community.

What Would This Measure Provide?

If approved by property owners, 100% of this proposed assessment would provide funding for the following services and improvements in the Greater Golden Hill area:


- Enhanced sidewalk cleaning and power-washing
- Enhanced litter and debris removal
- Trash removal and large item pickup
- Sidewalk repairs
- Regular graffiti removal and abatement
- Street tree maintenance
- Enhanced landscaping maintenance
- Removal of public health and sanitation hazards
- Repair and maintenance of street lights
- Decorations and banner installation
- Canyon and trail maintenance and beautification
- Prevention of illegal dumping in canyons

Who Will Manage the Services?

If approved, the services and improvements would be managed by the Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation (GGHCDC), which is a private, non-profit business organization comprised of property owners in the Greater Golden Hill area. In addition, the City of San Diego would oversee the collection of the assessments and the expenditures managed by the GGHCDC.

Why Should You Vote?

Your vote on this matter is important because only returned ballots will be counted. Your ballot is your opportunity to participate in deciding whether or not the proposed improvements and services should be decided.



Sidewalks before cleaning services.



Sidewalks after cleaning services.

What Are the Public Accountability Safeguards?

This measure includes several layers of public accountability provisions:

- 1) If approved, the funds from this measure can only be used in the Greater Golden Hill area.
- 2) An independent committee of property owners in the Greater Golden Hill area can manage the services funded and can regularly review the budget and services funded by the assessments.
- 3) The City of San Diego will oversee and monitor the use of the funds.
- 4) The budget and services funded with the assessments will also be reviewed annually by the City Council.



Funding for regular debris and litter cleanup.

Additional Information

For additional information concerning the proposed assessments, and services and improvements for the Greater Golden Hill area, please contact Luis Ojeda, Program Administrator, E.D.D., City of San Diego, at (619) 236-6475.

A Yes Vote Means

A yes vote is a vote for the levying of the proposed assessments and the proposed services and improvements for the Greater Golden Hill area.

A No Vote Means

A no vote is a vote against the levying of the proposed assessments and against the proposed services and improvements for the Greater Golden Hill area.

How Much Is the Assessment?

The proposed annual assessment for your property is printed on your ballot included with this notice and information guide. If this proposed assessment is approved, the total amount that would be raised for fiscal year 2007-08 is approximately \$488,890.

How Was the Assessment Determined?

The total cost of the improvements and services to be funded is allocated to each property based on the estimated special benefit received. For each parcel in the Greater Golden Hill area, the proposed assessment is based on the type of property, property size, location and linear frontage.

The Engineer's Report describing the proposed improvements and services, method of assessment, map of the assessment areas, benefits, budgets and proposed assessments for each parcel is available for review at the office of the City Clerk located at 202 C Street, 2nd Floor, San Diego, California 92101 or it can be found at: <http://www.sandiego.gov/cpcl>.

Method of Voting

Complete the attached ballot, detach it, place it in the ballot envelope, affix proper postage and return it immediately. Mail your ballot to the address on the ballot or deliver it in person at the public hearing to be held on July 30, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. in City of San Diego Council Chambers on the 12th Floor of the City Administration Building located at 202 C Street, San Diego, California 92101.

Only official ballots, which are signed, marked with the property owner's support or opposition and are returned before the end of the public hearing on July 30, 2007 will be counted.

If you lose or misplace your ballot, you can request a replacement ballot by calling Luis Ojeda, Program Administrator, Economic Development Division, City of San Diego at (619) 236-6475. If multiple owners of the same property desire to vote separately, they can request proportional ballots by calling the same number.

Notice of Public Hearing

A public hearing will be held on Monday, July 30, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. in City of San Diego Council Chambers on the 12th Floor of the City Administration Building located at 202 C Street, San Diego, California 92101. You are invited to attend the public hearing.

Ballots shall remain sealed until the public input portion of the public hearing is closed. Ballots are weighted by the amount of assessment paid and will be tabulated accordingly by the City Clerk. Tabulation of the returned ballots will commence after the close of the public hearing and the results of the tabulation are expected to be announced on July 30th, or at the next public meeting.

The City shall not impose the assessment if the weighted returned ballots opposed to the assessment exceed the weighted returned ballots in support. Based on the outcome of the assessment ballots, the City Council, will consider appropriate action.



Funding to repair damaged sidewalks, such as this.

Will This Assessment Increase In the Future?

In future years after 2007-08, the assessment can only be adjusted for inflation by an amount equal to the change in the San Diego Area Consumer Price Index, but not to exceed 5% per year. This annual adjustment, which must be reviewed and approved each year at a public meeting, will help keep the assessment revenues in line with the cost of providing services in future years.



Funding for graffiti removal.

Please Complete Your Ballot and Mail It Back Promptly
All Ballots Must Be Received
On or Before July 30, 2007 To Be Counted

Please Complete Your Ballot and Mail It Back Promptly
All Ballots Must Be Received
On or Before July 30, 2007 To Be Counted

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFERENCE WITH THE VOTE #6 –

Refused to Report the True and Accurate outcome of the Ballots. It became clear that there were discrepancies and problems with the ballot and voting processes (property owners not getting ballots, wrong addresses, some even claimed others were marking ballots); the City Clerk made it clear it was not her responsibility to ensure the propriety or legality of the vote; only to collect the ballots and assist the Departments in counting them and report the results to City Council. Clearly the **NO VOTE WON!** But deceivers won city VOTE!

City Clerk further refused to report to the city council the true accurate results of **“Property Owners Vote”** verses the **“City's Gerrymandering Vote”** – this misleading the city council in perceiving that a overwhelming majority of family property owners are wishing for the MAD to be establish!

City Clerk “remained quiet” during the council meeting when councilmember Frye specifically asked for such category break-down and then was misled by Scott Kessler doublespeak that it would be a complicated and challenging task to accomplish prior to the actual council vote. **Clerk's obvious refusal to provide this “in her Hands” information when requested, made her part the deception.**



PROPERTY OWNER VOTE Reported by Clerk



NO



YES



The Real VOTE

Not Reported by Clerk



56%



44%



NO


MAD TAX

YES

6th ATTACK 2007–TODAY!

CITY'S INTERFREANCE WITH THE VOTE #7 –

City Attorney refused many repeated CITIZEN requests to ACT to stop crime and malfeasance by City employees, agents, their advisors in attorney's office.



**WHY SHOULD
CITIZENS TRUST THE
“DISHONEST”
CITY OF SAN DIEGO?**

CITY OF SAN DIEGO
“DISHONESTY”
& Corruption
Continues
TODAY!

San Diego Superior Court

November 13, 2009

COURT RUELD

The City's GGHCCDC "MAD" is
unconstitutional and illegal
under California state law!

Case No. 37-2007-00074201-CU-MC -CTL

The Property Owners WON!

By Court Ruling the MAD
never legally existed (it was a
crime, unclean hands, Noticed)!
It's clear that all Money Stolen
by City from property owners
to be returned!

State of California
Court of Appeal
September 22, 2011
COURT RUELD 3-0

The City's GGHCDC "MAD" is
unconstitutional and illegal
under California state law!

Case No. 37-2007-00074201-CU-WM-CTL, 37-2008-00088429-CU-MC –CTL.

“Writ of Mandamus”

To Vacate City’s GGHCDC - MAD Establishment

“We conclude that a writ of mandate vacating the resolution establishing the District is the most appropriate means of accomplishing that result. ...request to dissolve the District, was sufficient for the trial court to direct the issuance of a writ vacating the resolution forming the District. Accordingly, we will direct entry of a judgment to that effect. ...ordering the issuance of a writ vacating the City's Resolution No. R-302887 forming the District and invalidating the assessments imposed by the District.”

The Property Owners WON!

Another Court Ruling Vacating the Establishment of the City's GGHCCDC MAD and invalidating Assessments. The MAD never legally existed (it was a crime, unclean hands, Noticed)! It's clear that all Money Stolen by City from property owners to be returned!

FURTHERANCE OF CRIMANL ACTIVITIES

Attacking the Citizens

**Since
November 13, 2009 The City
has made it clear that it has
no intent to return “Property
Owners” monies
(\$1,800,000.00+) and/or
Vacating the City’s GGHCDC
MAD, as ordered by both
Courts.**

Beth Murray

Deputy Director Economic Development Division

In spite of two Court Rulings vacating the City's MAD "establishment" and invalidating all assessments, she supervised, directed, ordered, authorized, the continued collections, distributions, and spending of these monies (\$1,500,000?). **Stressing that only the CITY can VACATE the City's MAD.** She believes that Courts did not have this competent authority as they outline in their rulings. Thus openly and knowingly disobeying the lawful orders of the courts. She again, directed the distribution and Spending of these monies (\$500,000?) this after **Court of Appeal** again ruled against city, vacated the City's MAD "establishment" and invalidated all assessments.

From: "Murray, Beth" <BMurray@sandiego.gov>

Date: Thu 6 Oct 2011 17:31:07 -0700

Subject: Stop the GGH MAD spending NOW

"I wanted to respond to your e-mail to clarify the steps the City of San Diego must follow now that the Courts have ruled against the GGHMAD. The City must wait for the appellate court to send their decision to the trial court and the trial court will need to send the official writ to the City instructing the City Council to rescind the resolution forming the GGHMAD. Once the court issues the writ, the City Council will need to hold a public hearing to officially dissolve the MAD. Once the MAD is dissolved the City will need to follow the provisions set under State law regarding what happens to the funds. Until this all happens the GGHMAD has the authority to operate and honor its current contracts. Additionally, I wanted be clear that Maintenance Assessment District funds are not allowed to be spend on lobbying efforts. Thanks, Beth"

When asked about the Public Hearing, the following clarification was provided:

" It boils down to separation of powers between each branch of government. The Council is the legislative body for the City. The court acts only as the judicial branch of government and cannot "legislate." The court can only tell the City the GGHMAD was illegally formed and tell the City it needs to be dissolved. The formation of the GGHMAD was a quasi-legislative act. So, the only way to "undo" a previous legislative act is by another legislative act. In this case, the resolution forming the GGHMAD needs to be rescinded. The Council will need to issue another resolution rescinding the formation resolution to "dissolve" the GGHMAD. Hope that hel

**Bureaucratic doublespeak displaying inability
to do the Right & Just, or ethical thing!**

Be
Cit

We need to inform the Judges that the City's Bureaucrats and Attorneys are again playing Games.

The City has no intent to comply with and/or accept the intent, spirit, and letter of their Rulings [writ of mandate vacating the City's Resolution forming the District and invalidating the assessments]. Nor returning the invalidated assessments (monies) to property owners.

This is exhibited by the city's assertions of not accepting the court's ability to vacate, but will instead rescind the District effective at some later date? That **after Judge Whitney's ruling the City increased the assessments and allocations to District, disbanded ELECTED oversight committee, and eased spending restrictions.** The city's contempt for the COURTS and CITIZENS is further exhibited when after the APPELLATE ruling the City's responsible executive directed the vacated District to continue spending the excess assessments. The city has yet to inform the County Tax Collector to stop the mandatory collections of these assessments.

TODAY
PROPERTY OWNERS NEED TO WRITE THESE
JUDGES

Referencing D057004

The Honorable,
Joan K. Irion, Associate Justice
Patricia D. Benke, Associate Justice
Gilbert Nares, Associate Justice
4th District Court of Appeal, Div 1
750 B Street, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92101

&

The Honorable,
Richard S. Whitney, Judge
Superior Court of San Diego County
Post Office Box 120128
San Diego, CA 92112-0128

Dan McAllister

County Treasurer-Tax Collector

taxman@sdcounty.ca.gov

**TO STOP the illegal collecting
invalidated Assessments for the
Courts Vacated “City’s GGHCDC
MAD.”**

Ernest J. Dronenburg Jr.

County Assessor

cao_mail@sdcounty.ca.gov

**TO STOP the illegal collecting
invalidated Assessments for the Courts
Vacated “City’s GGHCDC MAD.”**

Juan Perez

County Property Tax Services

auditor@sdcounty.ca.gov

**TO STOP the illegal collecting
invalidated Assessments for the
Courts Vacated “City’s GGHCDC
MAD.”**

**WHEN IS THE
CITY GOING TO
END THIS AND
GIVE OUR
MONEY BACK?**

**There is a rumored scheme
being developed by City
Employees to again
establish a different City
GGHCDC MAD bypassing
and stepping on the rights
of Property Owners!**

April 25, 2012

res ipsa loquitur

Maintenance Assessment District (MAD) Business Improvement District (BID)

South Park Neighborhood Association (SPNA)
Golden Hill Neighborhood Association (GHNA)
Golden Hill Community Association (GHCA)
Friends of Balboa Park & Golf Course
Anti-MAD Community Volunteers

Verses

City of San Diego
Grater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation (GGHCDC)

These documents are related to an ongoing 17 years conflict between the Citizens (property owners) of the communities of **SOUTH PARK** and **GOLDEN HILL**, of San Diego, California, battling against the employees of the City of San Diego, and the Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation (GGHCDC) who dishonestly and maliciously caused the illegal establishment of a Maintenance Assessment District over the majority objections of the Citizens [property owners]. This to specifically provide revenue and support with privet property assessments (taxes/fees) to and for the benefit of a privet membership corporation (GGHCDC). **DONE - without a simple "HONEST FORTHRIGHT VOTE" of the Citizens!**

In 2012 the battle is continuing although the Citizens clearly and decisively won every court case, which ORERED a Writ of Mandate, vacating the unconstitutional and illegal governmental actions/proclamations establishing the Grater Golden Hill Maintenance Assessment District (GGHMAD) for the benefit of and administration by the Greater Golden Hill Community Development Corporation (GGHCDC). As of today the **"City Employees"** are continuing the disrespect of the Citizens and Courts by battling, bureaucratic delays, doublespeak, "admitting no wrongs", and are refusing to return the stolen monies by the government to the property owners, forthwith.

These Documents are provided to CITIZENS to assists you in fighting the tyranny of our Governments' uncaring Politicians, and their dishonest, officious, manipulative, bullying Bureaucrats. They were obtained and provided from many sources and volunteers to whom we shall never forget their goodwill and good-works.

I recommend you to first review the following document prepared by community volunteers to better understand what has transpired to this point in time:

20111031 - GGHCDC MAD HISTORY.pdf

I also would recommend that you contact the following Anti-MAD Points of Contact:

www.goldenhillmad.blogspot.com
madmatters@cox.net
www.madenoughyet.com

INDEX [LIST] OF RELATED DOCUMENTS & PUBLICATIONS

19720000 - Landscaping & Lighting Act of 1972.pdf
19890000 - MAP - South Park & Golden Hill.pdf
19940000 - GGHCDC Revitalization Project.pdf
19951010 - GHCDC Excutive Director Report.pdf
19951010 - GHCDC Revitalization Plan Community.pdf
19951019 - GHCDC Boad Directors.pdf
19951024 - South Park Association 01.pdf
19970000 - CA Gov. Code section 53753.pdf
19970000 - TAX Right to Vote Proposition 218.pdf
19970609 - MAD City Resolution 288830 MAD Ballot.pdf
19980608 - SD MAD MuniCode.pdf
20000614 - MAD local-light mad.pdf
20000628 - GGHCDC Board Agenda.pdf
20000810 - GGHCDC MAD Misc Docs.pdf
20000810 - MAD GGHCDC - Kessler Letter.pdf
20000901 - GGHCDC MAD Hal Tyvoll Protest Flier - Tovel.pdf
20010213 - CDC Newsletter.pdf
20010305 - GGHCDC MAD Reminder Letter.pdf
20010330 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20010401 - MAD GGHCDC Status Report.pdf
20010401 - MAD SD Park Dept.pdf
20010403 - MAD GGHCDC Status Letter.pdf
20010412 - MAD GGHCDC Letter.pdf
20010425 - GHHCDC NewCity 01-10.pdf
20010425 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20010600 - GGHCDC MAD Newletter.pdf
20010608 - CMADJuneNewsletter2001.pdf
20010613 - MAD GGHCDC Letter - Councilmember.pdf
20010623 - MAD GGHCDC Letter - Mayor.pdf
20010710 - MAD GGHCDC Letter - Atkins.pdf
20020108 - MAD SD Process Ord1975.pdf
20020108 - The MAD Process.pdf
20020313 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20020430 - GGHCDC \$150 Council Agenda.pdf
20021021 - BID GGHCDC.pdf
20021029 - GH Planning Committee - GGHCDC Business Districts.pdf
20021029 - GH Planning Committee - GGHCDC Community Center.pdf
20021029 - GH Planning Committee - GGHCDC Joint Committees.pdf
20030500 - SD Code MAD Lighting.pdf

20040000 - SD Policy#100-21 MAD Formations.pdf
20040913 - MAD Formation Handout.pdf
20040913 - San Diego MAD Formation Handout.pdf
20050000 - MAD BUDGETS 2004-8 v6_cedadmin.pdf
20050425 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20050622 - MAD SD Self-ManagedMAD-CityFY2006Budget.pdf
20060000 - GHCDC BoardRooster.pdf
20060311 - MAD Court Rulling Greene.pdf
20060318 - GHCDC ActionPlan.pdf
20060401 - GGHCDC strategicPlan2006.pdf
20060600 - GGHCDC eLetter3_06.pdf
20060601 - SD Block Grants amendfyar07.pdf
20060627 - MAD Council Meeting pmfinal.pdf
20061200 - MAD FUNDING SIDEWALKS pmpchap7.pdf
20070000 - FY08 MAD 06v3revenue.pdf
20070000 - San Diego CityBEAT - poochPolitics.pdf
20070101 - GGHCDC Self History.pdf
20070300 - GHCDC MAD SD CITY Survey.pdf
20070300 - MAD North Park AER.pdf
20070400 - GHCDC MAD SCI Engineeers Report-NO APPENDIX.pdf
20070400 - GHCDC MAD SCI Engineeers Report.pdf
20070400 - SD Developemnet services.pdf
20070401 - GGHCDC MAD Tony Atkins News.pdf
20070406 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20070423 - GHCDC MAD Request Council Agenda JUNE 2007.pdf
20070511 - City San Diego City GGHCDC MAD - Ohedia.pdf
20070521 - Hal Tyvoll MAD Ltr to Council.pdf
20070600 - GHCDC City Grants FY07.pdf
20070600 - MAD City FY08v3 CIP Budget.pdf
20070607 - Plan Group juneagenda.pdf
20070608 - MAD GGHCDC Letter 01 - Mayor.pdf
20070608 - MAD GGHCDC Letter 02 - Aguirre.pdf
20070609 - GGHCDC Meeting.pdf
20070612 - Council Agenda - GHCDC MAD1.pdf
20070615 - GGHCDC MAD cert of mailing_1.pdf
20070615 - GGHCDC MAD cert of mailing_2.pdf
20070616 - MAD GGHCDC SD City Flier.pdf
20070617 - NP News- GHCDC MAD SD CITY Advertisement.pdf
20070618 - GGHCDC MAD SD City Ballot.pdf
20070618 - GHCDC MAD SD CITY Letter.pdf
20070619 - GHCDC MAD - SD City Opposition Consultant.pdf
20070621 - Citizen No GGHCDC MAD Ltr to James T. Waring.pdf
20070630 - GHCDC MAD SCI Engineeers Report-02not complete.pdf
20070701 - No GGHCDC MAD Flier.pdf
20070702 - Citizen No GGHCDC MAD Ltr to Toni Atkins.pdf

20070702 - GHCDC MAD SD CITY Flier.pdf
20070702 - Toni Atkins Leter.pdf
20070706 - Dear Neighbor Letter - No MAD tax.pdf
20070708 - MAD GGHCDC Todd Gloria TaxpayersAdvocate.org.pdf
20070709 - MAD Fellow Neighbor Helpful Note.pdf
20070710 - GGHCDC MAD Ben Hueso Website.pdf
20070710 - GGHCDC MAD Vote No Flier.pdf
20070712 - MAD 18July07 MAD Meeting CHANGE.pdf
20070712 - MAD GGHCDC City SAN Diego Parcels.pdf
20070712 - NoMADTax - Gerrymandering.pdf
20070716 - Neighbors Communications & Response from SD Clerk.pdf
20070718 - GHCDC MAD Meeting CHANGE.pdf
20070719 - SCI MAD san diego golden hill response.pdf
20070723 - CA Code 549546.pdf
20070723 - CA Code R28830.pdf
20070723 - CA Constitution-ARTICLE 13 _Prop. 218_.pdf
20070726 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20070726 - San Diego Reader MAD GGHCDC.pdf
20070730 - MAD GGHCDC - NOT A HONEST VOTE.pdf
20070800 - NP NEWS - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20070900 - NP NEWS - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20071000 - GGHCDC MAD Directory.pdf
20071000 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20071100 - NP NEWS - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20071207 - GGHCDC MAD Ballots Oversight Committee.pdf
20080000 - GGHCDC brochurefinal_so (3)_1.pdf
20080000 - GGHCDC MAD City Contract 2008-9.pdf
20080123 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080200 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080200 - NP NEWS - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080204 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080225 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee By Laws.pdf
20080225 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080317 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080421 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080519 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080519 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080521 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080600 - GHCDC MAD SCI Engeneers Report.pdf
20080602 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080616 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080617 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080620 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080714 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080715 - GGHCDC MAD Notice of Inviting Bid .pdf

20080723 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080730 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080818 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee Procedures.pdf
20080818 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080819 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20080900 - GGHCDC MAD Newspaper.pdf
20080915 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20080917 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20081020 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20081022 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20081112 - SD 21.reporttocitycouncilfy09.pdf
20081117 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20081118 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20081215 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20081216 - MAD MAP SD City.pdf
20081230 - HUD Audit - San Diego ig0991005.pdf
20090105 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090202 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090302 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090303 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20090307 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20090323 - GGHCDC MAD sidewalk repair Letter.pdf
20090406 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090504 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090601 - GGHCDC MAD Oversight Committee.pdf
20090606 - GGHCDC MAD Oversite Committe.pdf
20090629 - GGHCDC MAD Promotions Letter.pdf
20090630 - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT-
fy092ndreprogramming.pdf
20090707 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20090717 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20090814 - MAD Democratic Values and Special Assessments.pdf
20090918 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20090930 - GGHCDC MAD Disband Oversight Committee Vote.pdf
20100000 - FY11 SD budget MAD 14v1appendix.pdf
20100203 - MAD Court Final Judgment GHAA.pdf
20100203 - MAD Court Findings Judgment GHAA.pdf
20100223 - GHNA - MAD help.pdf
20100324 - MAD University Avenue - uampmtgpresentation.pdf
20100411 - MAD GGHCDC Illegale \$732,746 Budget.pdf
20100421 - San Diego Reader - CDC No Refunds.pdf
20100501 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20100600 - MAD GGHCDC.pdf
20100726 - fy11ao.pdf
20100831 - GHHCDC Audit.pdf

20100913 - MAD VENDOR LOT SUMMARY 9958.pdf
20101001 - GGHCDC MAD Annual Report 2009.pdf
20101105 - GGHCDC Hotline Investigation 11-5.pdf
20101110 - UTSanDiego.pdf
20101120 - 25th Street Project.pdf
20101201 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20110000 - MAD 25th Street.pdf
20110200 - MAD Oversight Cmte agenda-Feb 2011.pdf
20110415 - GGHCDC 25thFINAL-FLYER#3.pdf
20110504 - GGH CPUAC.pdf
20110505 - GGHCDC 25th St Project.pdf
20110510 - GGHCDC MAD Farmer's Market.pdf
20110517 - SD Developemnet services.pdf
20110600 - FY11 SD budget 10_37.pdf
20110600 - SD Planning Groups contactlist.pdf
20110604 - Fed Report CDC990-ending Aug 2010.pdf
20110604 - Fed Report CDC990.pdf
20110711 - GGHCDC MAD Audit.pdf
20110726 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20110900 - GHHCDC Boardmembers.pdf
20110922 - COURT Appellate-Final Ruling-D057004.pdf
20110922 - D057004.PDF
20110922 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111003 - Todd Gloria & David Alvarez GGH MAD D3_D8 Memo.pdf
20111004 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111006 - GGHCDC MAD BMurry email.pdf
20111014 - California Taxpayers Association - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111014 - San Diego Uptown News - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111020 - NP NEWS - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111021 - San Diego Reader - GGHCDC MAD.pdf
20111031 - GGHCDC MAD HISTORY.pdf
20111031 - GGHCDC MAD HISTORY.ppt
20120000 - MAD 25th Street v3schedule1.pdf
20120215 - UTSanDiego.pdf
20120219 - SD Planning Groups contactlist.pdf
20120312 - GGHCDC MAD City Dissolution.pdf
20120329 - Property Tax Letter 2011-12.pdf
20120412 - Greater Golden Hill Planning Committee.pdf
20120412 - UpTown News Artical.pdf
20120419 - GGHCDC Meeting Agenda.pdf
20120419 - GGHCDC WebPage.pdf
20120422 - Marco LiMandri - New City America.pdf
20120423 - GGHCDC MAD Trash Cans.pdf
20120900 - GGH Planning.pdf